



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,482	05/26/2004	Thomas Karpati		6323

7590 05/17/2005
Thomas Karpati
7 Gary Street
Lindenhurst, NY 11757

EXAMINER


MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3617

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/600,482	KARPATI, THOMAS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert J. McCarry, Jr.	3617	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 and 14-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/20/2003.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (US 5,096,049) in view of Larsen (US 3,770,914) and further in view of Hernandez, Jr (US 6,871,861).

Anderson discloses an elongated platform, which has a track thereon. The Examiner has interpreted the ground surface to be a platform for the device. A carrier member 21 is made up of a top and bottom surface with wheels on the bottom surface, which engage first and second tracks 11, 12 located on the platform. The device is further comprised of an electric motor, which drives a chain along the length of the track on the platform. The linkages of the chain engage a hook member attached to the carrier in order to propel the carrier along the track from a starting point to a terminal point and back. The motor has a control switch mounted on it to operate the device.

Anderson discloses a carrier device as described above. However, Anderson does not disclose the use of a remote control to operate the carrier device. Larsen discloses a cart operated by remote control. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have applied a remote control to the carrier device of Anderson in order

Art Unit: 3617

to make it easier for the user to operate the carrier from inside a building or in the event the user is unable to access the motor for physical reasons.

Anderson discloses a carrier device as described above. However, Anderson does not disclose a cushion mounted on the top surface of the carrier. Hernandez, Jr discloses a cart having cushions on it for accommodating a person to sit, kneel or lay down. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied a cushion like that of Hernandez, Jr to the carrier of Anderson in order to accommodate a person should they need to move along on the carrier with the objects to be transported so as to move them off of the carrier if needed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. McCarry, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-6683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00am to 3:00pm.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RJM
May 5, 2005


ROBERT J. McCAFFREY, JR.
PATENT EXAMINER
3617


S. JOSEPH MORANO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3617



Form PTO-1449 U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
LIST OF PRIOR ART CITED BY APPLICANT

Title: **ATTIC CART DEVICE**

Inventor: **THOMAS KARPATI**

Atty. Docket No.

Serial No.

Filing Date:

Group:

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document #	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate
<i>RJM</i>	5,456,529	10/10/95	Cheung	312	245	12/30/93
	6,202,359	3/20/01	Reed	52	31	12/18/98
	4,966,513	10/30/90	Motoda	414	277	3/21/99
	6,471,309	10/29/02	Turner	312	201	9/20/00
	5,239,790	8/31/93	Fetzer	52	36	5/22/92
	5,242,219	9/7/93	Tomaka	312	245	3/26/92
	5,249,858	10/5/93	Nusser	312	319	5/4/92
	4,574,962	3/11/86	Tabler	211	122	4/25/83
	4,389,157	6/21/83	Bernard	414	787	7/9/81
<i>RM</i>	4,699,437	10/13/87	Genereaux	312	248	5/14/85

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation
-----------------	------	---------	-------	----------	-------------

[] YES [] NO


OTHER DOCUMENTS (including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

Examiner

Date Considered

RMC

5/05

 Notice of References Cited	Application/Control No. 10/600,482	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination KARPATI, THOMAS	
	Examiner Robert J. McCarry, Jr.	Art Unit 3617	Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	A	US-3,770,914	11-1973	Larsen, Henry A.	191/12.2A
	B	US-5,096,049	03-1992	Anderson, Jeffrey M.	198/750.1
	C	US-6,871,861	03-2005	Hernandez, Jr., Hector R.	280/32.6
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	H	US-			
	I	US-			
	J	US-			
	K	US-			
	L	US-			
	M	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	O					
	P					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	T					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	W	
	X	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.